

Gender Diversity in Leadership: Effects on Organizational Success

Shakeel Ahmed Arain*

Abstract

This study uses a qualitative research approach to study the impact of gender diversity in leadership on overall organizational success. The research uses semi-structured interviews with twelve respondents to ascertain how gender-inclusive leadership is related to enhanced decision-making and greater innovation, leading to superior financial performance. The results illustrate that organizations tend to build a better corporate reputation and stakeholders' trust and diminish the chances of groupthink if they have diverse leadership teams. And while these barriers are there for men too, they keep many of us from reaching parity in these executive-led roles. The research emphasizes that the organization should promote gender diversity through mentorship programs and flexible work arrangements. Several theories that support the importance of gender-inclusive leadership were used as a theoretical foundation to drive programming. These theories include Social Role Theory and Transformational Leadership Theory, which are consistent with the findings presented here. Finally, practical implications and future study recommendations are discussed, including industry-specific and cross-cultural analysis as aspects related to gender diversity in leadership.

Keywords: *Gender Diversity, Leadership, Organizational Success, Decision-Making, Workplace Inclusion, Transformational Leadership*

JEL Classification: *D23, J16*

INTRODUCTION

The topic of gender diversity, especially at the leadership level, has been a topic of discussion in the past few decades (Choi & Ko, 2025). There has been plenty of research on how important it is to an organization's success (Ali et al., 2024). Gender diversity in leadership refers to the equitable representation of individuals of different genders in executive and managerial positions (Gaio et al., 2024). Organizations across industries are recognizing the strategic

Correspondence:

* Greenwich University, Karachi, Pakistan. shakeeii@gmail.com

advantage of gender diversity to enhance decision-making, innovation, financial performance, and reputation of the corporation (Eagly & Carli, 2007). Employers should endeavour to see moderation of employer responsibility in the transition from a male-dominated leadership structure to a more inclusive work environment for the business imperative (Terjesen et al., 2009) and not just as a (social) ethical responsibility. There have been many great strides, but great gender gaps still exist across industries and at many leadership levels around the world and more looking into how gender diversity influences organizational outcomes is warranted (Gul et al., 2021).

The role of gender diversity in improving an organisation's decision-making and problem-solving abilities is becoming a commonly discussed topic. A gender-inclusive leadership team creates a diverse range of thought and brings in different perspectives, thereby improving cognitive processes (creativity) and reducing the risk of groupthink (Hoobler et al., 2018). Research has shown that a team is more effective in adapting to a dynamic business environment, also due to the collection of a broader range of experiences and their insights in strategic planning (Cook & Glass, 2014; Alshareef & Tunio, 2022). Secondly, organizations that are open to gender diversity have more engaged and content workers who consequently raise productivity levels and reduce staff turnover (Sabharwal, 2015).

Yet another key dimension in which the differential gender diversity in leadership yields financial success to the organization is financial performance (Lakhal et al., 2024). Research indicates that companies with a mix of leadership roles (men and women) tend to have better profitability and shareholder value than companies where this is not the case (McKinsey & Company, 2020). Boards and companies with gender balance in their boards and executive teams have shown the ability to achieve higher returns on equity, revenue growth, and more efficient risk management strategies (Credit Suisse, 2016). Contrary to such findings, gender diversity is a concrete factor that guarantees financial performance sustainability and corporate resilience (Yousfani & Shaikh, 2023).

But gender diversity in leadership goes beyond that: it increases a company's reputation and the trust of its stakeholders even when it comes to metrics that cannot be easily translated into financial terms (Chaudhry et al., 2021; Shaikh et al., 2022). In general, organizations that promote diversity and inclusion are seen as socially responsible and rightfully so, since it attracts the firms' top talent, investors, and those consumers who recognize that the firms have given their due attention to corporate social responsibility (CSR) (Bear et al., 2010). When a consumer's behaviour while buying is greatly influenced by what they think of a brand, being gender diverse (especially in senior roles) can be a point of difference in the market (Glass & Cook, 2016; Shaikh et al., 2022). Additionally, strict adherence to regulatory frameworks is another way of instituting diversity policies and increasing an organization's posture of fair practices, thereby minimizing legal and reputation issues.

It is also true that these are advantages; however, the gender diversity in leadership still has barriers. Structural and cultural obstacles like unconscious bias, the glass ceiling effect, and work-life balance constraints still prevent the climb up the ranks to leadership posts (Eagly & Heilman, 2016; Tunio et al., 2021; Govindarajo et al., 2021). To tackle the above, mentorship programs, flexible work arrangements, and policies that address eliminating discriminatory practices are required. This is where organizational commitment to an inclusive culture in

bridging the gender gap and providing leadership opportunities based on merit, and not based on gender, is important.

It is not only an ethical imperative but also necessary for long-term success for organizations to ensure gender diversity in leadership (Attia et al., 2024). Better decision making, enhanced financial performance, and increased corporate reputation about gender inclusive leadership, subsequently necessitate diversity at all levels of the organisation (Adeniyi et al., 2024). Research should be further conducted to find out what the best practices are to encourage gender diversity and what the long-term impact of inclusive leadership on sustainability will be.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Academic literature has studied extensively the relationship between gender diversity in leadership and organizational success. The benefits, challenges, and mechanisms by which gender diversity impacts organizational performance have been the subject of numerous theoretical perspectives and empirical studies.

Theoretical Foundations of Gender Diversity in Leadership

Some theoretical frameworks prescribed the impact of gender diversity in leadership. According to the Resource-Based View (RBV), diverse leadership teams are an asset that gives a firm a competitive advantage as a unique, complementary set of skills, experience, and perspectives enhances decision-making and promotes innovation (Barney 1991). According to the Social Role Theory (Eagly, 1987), traditional gender roles have traditionally kept women from filling leadership roles; however, enterprises that have broken these norms form a more diverse talent pool. Furthermore, the Institutional Theory holds that, with regards to gender diversity in leadership, it is now regulated by regulatory frameworks, societal expectations, and corporate governance reforms (Meyer & Rowan, 1977; Shaikh et al., 2021).

The Glass Ceiling Theory (Morrison et al., 1987) refers to invisible barriers that prevent women from reaching top positions even if they have the required qualifications and experience. This is congruent with empirical evidence that has demonstrated that women continue to be the victims of systemic bias, lack access to mentorship networks, and do not have equal access to networks that aid in career progress. Also based on the Transformational Leadership Theory (Bass, 1985), it is fair to say that women occupying leadership roles are likely to adopt transformational leadership styles, which focus on collaboration, empowerment and ethical decision making, associated with organizational success.

Gender Diversity and Decision-Making Effectiveness

Gender diversity in leadership has been shown to bolster important elements of leadership thinking in significant ways, according to a substantial body of research. As diverse teams can solve problems from different perspectives, they tend to have more complete, creative solutions (Hoobler et al., 2018; Kaufmann & Derry, 2024). A number of empirical studies indicate that firms with gender diverse leadership are less susceptible to groupthink and prove to be more adaptable to complex business environments (Cook & Glass, 2014; Alodat & Hao, 2025). Also, studies reveal that gender diversified boards are more inclined to participate in ethical decision making and corporate social responsibility practices (Bear et al., 2010; Okatta et al., 2024).

Gender Diversity and Financial Performance

Conclusively, there is a positive and consistent relationship between the gender diversity in leadership and financial performance. McKinsey & Company (2020) indicate that firms in the top quartile for gender diversity on the executive team outperform others by 25 per cent financially. A Credit Suisse (2016) study similarly found that businesses having a woman on their board did better financially and with stock performance. These findings support the assertion that gender diversity in a corporate environment is a significant determinant of the profitability and sustainability of an organization.

Barriers to Gender Diversity in Leadership

Although gender diversity in leadership is well documented as being advantageous, there are many barriers to it. Women still do not have access to executive positions due to unconscious bias and cynical stereotyping in hiring (Eagly & Heilman, 2016; Dwivedi & Paoletta, 2024). Women continue to be hit by the glass ceiling effect, where they are scrutinized more and expected to perform higher than their male counterparts (Sabharwal, 2015). Furthermore, women face work-life balance issues and a lack of mentorship opportunities as barriers to prepare them for leadership positions (Terjesen et al., 2009; Skaf et al., 2024).

Strategies For Enhancing Gender Diversity

Organizations have developed several strategies to boost the participation of women in leadership positions in order to overcome these barriers (Chikwe et al., 2024). For example, policies like gender quotas, mentorship programs, leadership training, and flexible work arrangements, among others, increase female representation at executive levels (Cook & Glass, 2014; Paolone et al., 2024). In addition, organizations that are devoted to inclusive leadership development and actively go up against gender biases are bound to achieve lasting change towards diversity (Bear et al., 2010; Odazie et al., 2024).

Gender diversity in leadership leads to higher performance in decision making, financial results, and corporate reputation, and the literature supports this argument (Yang et al., 2024). Nevertheless, many structural and cultural barriers are still a significant problem when it comes to reaching parity between women and men in leadership positions (Gharios et al., 2024). Longitudinal studies should investigate the long-term effects of diversity policies and learn from ways specific to an industry to enhance gender diversity in their posts.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This article describes the research methodological framework used in the research on gender diversity in leadership and its consequences for organisational success. The study takes a qualitative approach to examine how people in organizational leadership roles experience, perceive, and interpret the phenomena of interest. The use of the qualitative methodology is justified as it can capture complex social dynamics and furnish an in-depth understanding through rich, descriptive data (Creswell & Poth, 2018). The research design, data collection methods, sampling strategy, interview protocol, demographic information of respondents, and data analysis techniques are explained in this chapter.

In choosing this study’s methodology, there is a choice of qualitative research methodology, which is focused on understanding human behaviour, experiences, and social phenomena within natural settings (Denzin & Lincoln, 2017). The phenomenon of gender diversification in leadership has varied facets influenced by organizational and national cultures, as well as individuals' experiences. With a qualitative approach, the researcher can look into these complexities with open-ended discussions, gathering a more profound knowledge of the hurdles, blessings, and implications of gender variety in management.

Importance and Justification of The Qualitative Research Methodology

As such, qualitative research is a very suitable means to explore gender diversity in leadership because it is of greater value for the in-depth exploration of experiences via the subjective experiences of individuals who occupy leadership positions. While quantitative methods are best suited to numerical analysis, qualitative research allows one to understand nuanced perspectives of how gender influences leadership roles, decision-making processes, and organizational outcomes (Merriam & Tisdell, 2016). Using this approach is just like the interpretivist paradigm is used to understand social realities by understanding the participants’ point of view (Bryman, 2016). Given that sociocultural context work greatly shapes leadership experiences, they are best understood through qualitative research.

DATA COLLECTION METHODS

Sampling Technique

The study uses a purposive sampling technique to get respondents with direct experience in leadership and gender diversity as the respondents. The reason why purposive sampling has been chosen is on the basis that participants have relevant insights on the topic of research and are therefore improving its credibility and data richness (Patton, 2015). The sample covers 12 responses from executive management, middle management, and HR professionals of different industries.

Semi-structured interviews are conducted to gather data, as they are flexible but ensure that key research questions are answered. The respondents freely share answers to semi-structured interviews, but the researcher probes further on specific areas (Kvale & Brinkmann, 2015).

In a face-to-face or virtual interview format, each session lasts on average 45 – 60 minutes. Interviews are audio recorded and transcribed verbatim with the consent of participants comparing for analysis. Demographic Characteristics of Respondents:

Respondent ID	Gender	Age	Industry	Years of Experience	Leadership Role
R1	Male	45	Finance	20	Senior Manager
R2	Female	38	Healthcare	15	HR Director
R3	Female	42	Education	18	Dean
R4	Male	50	Technology	25	CEO
R5	Female	36	Retail	12	Operations Head

R6	Male	48	Manufacturing	22	General Manager
R7	Female	39	Banking	17	VP of Strategy
R8	Male	44	Telecommunications	19	Senior Consultant
R9	Female	41	Government	16	Director
R10	Male	46	Energy	21	CFO
R11	Female	37	Media	14	Chief Editor
R12	Male	49	Consulting	23	Managing Partner

Data Analysis

Qualitative data collected from the semi-structured interviews are analysed through thematic analysis. According to Braun and Clarke (2006), thematic analysis is a technique that allows the researcher to identify, analyse and report patterns (themes) in the data. A systematic analysis process is followed.

- 1 Data Familiarisation, transcripts are read multiple times to gain an understanding of the responses in general.
- 2 Key phrases, concepts and patterns are identified and key-coded in the data set.
- 3 Related codes are defined as belonging to broader themes.
- 4 Themes Refinement, themes are considered in terms of accounting to research objectives.
- 5 Themes are interpreted and reported by directly quoting what participants said.

To strengthen the reliability and validity of the analysis, investigator triangulation is used such that multiple researchers analyze the findings and discuss them to reduce bias (Nowell et al., 2017). Moreover, member checking takes place by presenting preliminary findings to respondents to guarantee accuracy and credibility (Lincoln & Guba, 1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Fig 1. Wordcloud by NVivo.

This section examines the study on gender diversity in leadership and its effects on organizational success. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with 12 respondents, namely, executives, managers, and HR professionals from different industries. First, the actual questions that formed the basis of the research are discussed, then the analysis is structured around major themes resulting from the data, alongside direct quotes from respondents to exemplify the data interpretation.

Theme 1: *Gender Diversity Enhances Decision-Making and Innovation*

Based on the interviews, one of the most prominent themes that has come to light is that having gender diversity within a leadership team increases a team's ability to make better decisions and generate creative ideas. Additionally, respondents noted that teams with diverse leadership provide various perspectives, allowing for rounded and novel solutions.

According to Respondent 3, a senior executive: "There is a wonderful conjunction of analytical and empathetic viewpoints gained both from men and women in discussions of leadership and that greatly advances the quality of our decisions."

Similarly, Respondent 7 (an HR manager) stated: "Organizations with diverse gender leadership possess more flexibility and creativity as the views of others are different and challenge traditional thinking, resulting in innovation."

Theme 2: *Gender-Diverse Leadership Positively Affects Employee Engagement and Organizational Culture*

Additionally, a number of respondents pointed out that having gender diversity in leadership has a positive impact on the morale and engagement of workers within an organization as well as the overall culture of the organization. Diverse leadership means inclusiveness and fairness among employees, which improves employee motivation and job satisfaction.

Respondent 5, a mid-level manager, stated, 'Seeing women in positions of power enables participants to believe that all parties are valued instead of their genders', creating a culture of meritocracy.

For instance, Respondent 9 said, "Having a diverse leadership team encourages an inclusive work environment whereby employees are okay to share their ideas knowing that they will be heard and respected."

Theme 3: *Financial Performance and Gender Diversity Correlation*

It was agreed by the participants that there is a very strong correlation between gender diverse leadership and improved financial performance. Many respondents reported that companies with gender diversity at the executive level also boast of higher profitability and better risk management strategies.

A finance director, Respondent 2, also stated, 'Gender diverse leadership teams will have different risk assessment perspectives which will lead to better financial decisions and sustainability profitability.'

Theme 4: *Barriers to Gender Diversity in Leadership*

The respondents have recognized the benefits of gender diversity; however, they have also talked about the many barriers that affect its progress. Such barriers include unconscious bias,

the lack of mentorship for women, and barriers such as work-life balance.

According to Respondent 8, a female executive, "Women still struggle with systemic issues, removing them from even the possibility of being considered for a 'seat at the table' due to built-in bias and stereotyping."

HR specialist Respondent 10 further commented: "Work life balance still poses to be a critical issue." More flexible policies need to be implemented by organizations in support of women in leadership roles.

Theme 5: *Strategies to Improve Gender Diversity in Leadership*

They provide insights into what strategies organizations can follow to encourage more females to lead. Some of the initiatives ComScore implemented to supplement traditional HR approaches include mentorship programs, leadership training, flexible work arrangements and policies related to removing biases in hiring and promotion.

According to Respondent 6, a corporate strategist: 'Organizations should invest proactively in mentorship and leadership development of women to overcome the existing barriers to women's leadership roles'.

According to respondent 12, a diversity and inclusion officer, integrated into the corporate strategy, with stated KPIs and measures of accountability to drive performance, should be gender diversity.

Gender diversity in leadership is found to have a large positive impact on organizational success, regarding decision making, innovation, employee commitment, and performance. The barriers are still unconscious bias and work-life balance challenges getting in the way of gender parity in leadership. Strategic interventions such as mentorship programs, diversity policies and inclusive workplace cultures are needed to address these challenges. Industry-specific challenges and best practices, including gender inclusive leadership, could be the subject of future research.

CONCLUSION

This study aimed to explore how gender diversity affects the leadership of an organization through a qualitative study. The findings demonstrated that gender inclusive leadership prompts innovation, upgrades decision-making, adjusts financial undertakings, and enhances corporate reputation. While these benefits are seemingly positive, gender parity for executive roles is highly challenging due to unconsciously ingrained biases, narrow mentorship pipelines, and the generic struggle to achieve work-life balance. The fact that the research points toward the need for organizations to identify and implement targeted interventions that both support and lead to more gender diverse leadership opens the door for future research on achieving this objective.

IMPLICATIONS

These results have important implications for practice as well as theory. Theoretically, it enriches the effort to apply Social Role Theory, Leadership Transformation Theory, and

Resource-Based View to explain the worth of gender diversity in leadership. These findings serve to empirically support the argument that diversity of leadership teams plays a supportive role for organizational competitiveness and sustainability.

This study demonstrates that it is necessary to be proactive in diversity initiatives from a pragmatic perspective. The need for gender inclusive policies, that is, organizations putting in place policies such as leadership development programmes, mentorship processes, and flexible work arrangements, among others, for women in leadership. Corporate governance bodies and policymakers should also be encouraged to establish gender quotas and anti-discrimination measures to overcome structural barriers.

FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Though this study contributes to our understanding of gender diversity in leadership, there is much more work left to do to comprehend it in depth. Future studies should consider:

- 1 Longitudinal Studies: Examining the long-term impact of gender diversity initiatives on organizational success.
- 2 Analysis of Gender Diversity Per Industry: Exploring how industry influences other sectors and highlighting the industry-specific challenges and opportunities.
- 3 Cross-Cultural Studies: Undertaking cross-cultural research to determine variations between gender diversity practices and practices achieved by different regions.
- 4 Leadership is intersectional: analyzing how gender in leadership is intersected with race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic background.
- 5 Quantitative Research Integration: Employing a combination of qualitative insights and quantitative data to measure the immediate outcomes of gender diversity on financial performance and organizational resilience.

REFERENCES

- Adeniyi, A. O., Akpuokwe, C. U., Bakare, S. S., & Eneh, N. E. (2024). Gender equality in the workplace: A comparative review of USA and African Practices. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(3), 526-539.
- Afshan, G., Shahid, S., & Tunio, M. N. (2021). Learning experiences of women entrepreneurs amidst COVID-19. *International Journal of Gender and Entrepreneurship*, 13(2), 162-186.
- Ali, M., Grabarski, M. K., Ahmed, S., & Imtiaz, N. (2024). Does leadership gender diversity drive corporate social responsibility and organizational outcomes? The role of organization size. *Australian Journal of Management*, 49(3), 319-339.
- Alodat, A. Y., & Hao, Y. (2025). Environmental, social and governance (ESG) disclosure and firm performance: moderating role of board gender diversity and sustainability committee. *Sustainable Development*, 33(1), 636-651.

- Alshareef, N., & Tunio, M. N. (2022). Role of leadership in adoption of blockchain technology in small and medium enterprises in Saudi Arabia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 911432.
- Attia, E. F., Yassen, S., Chafai, A., & Qotb, A. (2024). The impact of board gender diversity on the accrual/real earnings management practice: evidence from an emerging market. *Future Business Journal*, 10(1), 24.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Bass, B. M. (1985). *Leadership and performance beyond expectations*. Free Press.
- Bear, S., Rahman, N., & Post, C. (2010). The impact of board diversity and gender composition on corporate social responsibility and firm reputation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 97(2), 207-221.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101.
- Bryman, A. (2016). *Social research methods* (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Chaudhry, I. S., Paquibut, R. Y., & Tunio, M. N. (2021). Do workforce diversity, inclusion practices, & organizational characteristics contribute to organizational innovation? Evidence from the UAE. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1947549.
- Chikwe, C. F., Eneh, N. E., & Akpuokwe, C. U. (2024). Navigating the double bind: Strategies for women leaders in overcoming stereotypes and leadership biases. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 18(3), 159-172.
- Choi, S., & Ko, Y. (2025). Does she belong here? Women in leadership positions and organizational performance in gendered institutions. *Public Administration Review*, 85(1), 238-251.
- Cook, A., & Glass, C. (2014). Women and top leadership positions: Towards an institutional analysis. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 21(1), 91-103.
- Credit Suisse. (2016). *The CS gender 3000: The reward for change*. Credit Suisse Research Institute.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2017). *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Dwivedi, P., & Paoletta, L. (2024). Tick off the gender diversity box: Examining the cross-level effects of women's representation in senior management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 67(4), 991-1023.
- Eagly, A. H. (1987). *Sex differences in social behavior: A social-role interpretation*. Erlbaum.
- Eagly, A. H., & Carli, L. L. (2007). *Through the labyrinth: The truth about how women become leaders*. Harvard Business Press.

- Eagly, A. H., & Heilman, M. E. (2016). Gender and leadership: Introduction to the special issue. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(3), 349-353.
- Gaio, L. E., Lucas, A. C., Junior, J. H. P., & Belli, M. M. (2024). Gender diversity in management and corporate financial performance: A systematic literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 31(5), 4047-4067.
- Gharios, R., Awad, A. B., Abu Khalaf, B., & Seissian, L. A. (2024). The impact of board gender diversity on European firms' performance: The moderating role of liquidity. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 17(8), 359.
- Glass, C., & Cook, A. (2016). Leading at the top: Understanding women's challenges above the glass ceiling. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 27(1), 51-63.
- Govindarajo, N. S., Kumar, D., Shaikh, E., Kumar, M., & Kumar, P. (2021). Industry 4.0 and business policy development: Strategic imperatives for SME performance. *Etikonomi*, 20(2), 239-258.
- Hoobler, J. M., Masterson, C. R., Nkomo, S. M., & Michel, E. J. (2018). The business case for women leaders: Meta-analysis, research critique, and pathway forward. *Journal of Management*, 44(6), 2473-2499.
- Kaufmann, L., & Derry, R. (2024). On valuing women: Advancing an intersectional theory of gender diversity in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 49(4), 775-798.
- Kvale, S., & Brinkmann, S. (2015). *Interviews: Learning the craft of qualitative research interviewing* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Lakhal, F., Hamrouni, A., Jilani, I., Mahjoub, I., & Benkraiem, R. (2024). The power of inclusion: Does leadership gender diversity promote corporate and green innovation? *Research in International Business and Finance*, 67, 102128.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.
- McKinsey & Company. (2020). *Diversity wins: How inclusion matters*. McKinsey Global Institute.
- Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2016). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. Jossey-Bass.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340-363.
- Morrison, A. M., White, R. P., & Van Velsor, E. (1987). Breaking the glass ceiling: Can women reach the top of America's largest corporations? Addison-Wesley.
- Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2017). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 16(1), 1-13.

- Odazie, D. C., Takyi, S., Nwachukwu, F. E., Oyewole, A., & Ajayi, O. (2024). Diversity and inclusion: Deploying technology in driving organizational performance. *Global Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12(2), 72-89.
- Okatta, C. G., Ajayi, F. A., & Olawale, O. (2024). Enhancing organizational performance through diversity and inclusion initiatives: a meta-analysis. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), 734-758.
- Paolone, F., Pozzoli, M., Chhabra, M., & Di Vaio, A. (2024). Cultural and gender diversity for ESG performance towards knowledge sharing: empirical evidence from European banks. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 28(11), 106-131.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Sabharwal, M. (2015). From glass ceiling to glass cliff: Women in senior executive service. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 25(2), 399-426.
- Shaikh, E., Brahmi, M., Thang, P. C., Watto, W. A., Trang, T. T. N., & Loan, N. T. (2022). Should i stay or should i go? explaining the turnover intentions with corporate social responsibility (CSR), organizational identification and organizational commitment. *Sustainability*, 14(10), 6030.
- Shaikh, E., Mishra, V., Ahmed, F., Krishnan, D., & Dagar, V. (2021). Exchange rate, stock price and trade volume in US-China trade war during COVID-19: An empirical study. *Studies of Applied Economics*, 39(8).
- Shaikh, E., Watto, W. A., & Tunio, M. N. (2022). Impact of authentic leadership on organizational citizenship behavior by using the mediating effect of psychological ownership. *Etikonomi*, 21(1), 89-102.
- Skaf, Y., El Abiad, Z., El Chaarani, H., El Nemar, S., & Vrontis, D. (2024). Exploring the influence of gender diversity and women's empowerment on family entrepreneurship performance: the moderating impact of firm characteristics. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 18(2), 318-339.
- Terjesen, S., Sealy, R., & Singh, V. (2009). Women directors on corporate boards: A review and research agenda. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(3), 320-337.
- Tunio, M. N., Yusrini, L., Shah, Z. A., Katper, N., & Jariko, M. A. (2021). How hotel industry cope up with the COVID-19: An SME Perspective. *Etikonomi*, 20(2), 213-224.
- Yang, B., Subramanian, N., & Al Harthy, S. (2024). Are gender diversity issues a hidden problem in logistics and supply chain management? Building research themes through a systematic literature review. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 100937.
- Yousfani, K., & Shaikh, E. (2023). Identifying Factors Affecting Green HRM Practices and Its Impact On Organizations' Sustainability of Multinational Corporations (Mncs). *NUST Business Review*, 5(2).